Project brief

Sign up for free with just an email at portal.wikinative.com/signup.
Verify your email. Free includes up to ten library sources, Scratch and one eligible notability assessment.
No payment method is needed to register. Professional services are separately assessed, agreed and charged.

Subject name and a short description

Project route

☐ New page ☐ Existing article ☐ Existing draft

Article or draft URL, if there is one

What would you like examined or changed?

Earlier articles, drafts, submissions or relevant discussions - include links

If you have a draft: who prepared it, and has any version been submitted?

Keep the material together

Use your verified Free Studio account to upload your brief and sources within the displayed storage limits.
Keep each source with the claim or question it helps examine.

For an existing proposed draft, use your Studio scratch pad and order a separately charged Draft Review. A Draft Review is separate from a notability assessment.

Use the next page for each source note. Keep conflicting evidence with the question.

Optional new-page notes: identify the subject's field and any relevant distinction or contribution.
Include the published evidence. Leave the route as a question if unsure; I will assess it.
A preliminary assessment identifies a potential basis; research and drafting must substantiate it.

Source note

Use your verified Studio account. Free includes up to ten sources. Source and storage limits are shown there.
For a new page, note whether this source supports notability or useful factual context.

Source or note number

Related question or brief reference

Title

Publisher and author, where available

Publication date

Original URL or filename

Page number, section or another precise location

Exact passage or fact to check

What does this source help examine?

Uncertainty, conflicting evidence or another source to compare

Save the original link or document with this note. Recording a source makes it available for review; it does not mean the source or proposed wording has been accepted.

Optional: which criterion or evidence gap might this source address? Leave it as a question if unsure.
For a proposed addition, explain how it clarifies the evidence or adds proportionate context.
Keep contrary evidence. More weak references do not necessarily close an identified gap.